UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
10/079,367	02/20/2002	Nabil R. Yousef	BP2087	7710
	7590 10/14/200 RRISON & MARKISO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1607		ANDRAMUNO, FRANKLIN S		
AUSTIN, TX 78716-0727			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/079,367	YOUSEF ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		FRANKLIN S. ANDRAM	UNO 2424	
The MAILING DATE of this o Period for Reply	ommunication appe	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.130 this communication. aximum statutory period wi d for reply will, by statute, e months after the mailing	TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may Il apply and will expire SIX (6) Mocause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
Responsive to communication This action is FINAL . Since this application is in concluded in accordance with the secondary communication.	2b)☐ This a	action is non-final. ce except for formal ma	•	ne merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected 8) Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdraw d. l. ed to.			
Application Papers —				
9) The specification is objected 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that a Replacement drawing sheet(s) is 11) The oath or declaration is obj	_ is/are: a) ☐ acce any objection to the d ncluding the correction	pted or b) objected t rawing(s) be held in abey on is required if the drawin	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	ne of: priority documents priority documents copies of the priori ternational Bureau	have been received. have been received in ty documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nationa	ıl Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/079,367 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-6, and 8-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ertel et al (US 7,031,290 B2) in view of Ariyoshi et al (US Patent 5,930, 244) in view of Horne (US Patent 7,012,884 B2). Hereinafter referred as Ertel, Ariyoshi and Horne.

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Ertel discloses a cable modem system that is operable using synchronous code division multiple access for a plurality of channels (column 1 lines 15-19), comprising: a plurality of channels; a channel termination system (User Equipment (12) in figure 1) and a channel network segment that communicatively couples the channel termination system to the plurality of channel; and wherein the channel termination system is operable to provide network access to each channel within the plurality of channel (Core Network (14) in figure 1), the network access being provided using a plurality of channel user signals, each channel user signal being transmitted from the channel termination system to at least one of the

Application/Control Number: 10/079,367

Art Unit: 2623

channel within the plurality of channel (Multi-element adaptive array in figure 1); the channel termination system spreads each of the channel user signals using an orthogonal code to generate a plurality of orthogonal code spread channel user signals (column 1 lines 51-54); the channel termination system sums plurality of orthogonal code spread channel user signals together to generate a summed, orthogonal code spread signal (Figure 9); the channel termination system spreads the summed, orthogonal code spread signal using a pseudo-noise code to generate a pseudo-noise code signal (column 1 lines 15-19); the channel termination system provides pseudonoise code synchronization information to at least one channel (User equipment (12) in figure 1); the at least one channel within the plurality of channel de-spreads the pseudo-noise code signal using the pseudo-noise code to generate a pseudo-noise despread channel user signal; and the at least one channel de-spreads the pseudo-noise de-spread channel user signal using the orthogonal code. However, Ertel fails to disclose the use of a plurality of cable modem. Ariyoshi shows in (figure 10) of a network comprising a pluratlity of terminal stations. Also, Ariyoshi discloses in (column 6 lines 38-42) of a pseudo noise generator. However, Ertel fails to disclose a system invention related to a broadcasting system. Horne discloses in (figure 1) the communication link between a transmitter and a receiver device in a broadcasting system. Moreover, Horne discloses in (column 4 lines 54-62) the interfaces (120) and (150) can be any sort of suitable interface for the transmitter and receiver devices (102) and (104), for example, a cable modem.

Page 3

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to include the use of a cable modem. This is a useful combination because it allows a communication network to be added with clients without risking the degradation of quality.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to include the use of a broadcasting system capable of supporting a cable modem connection with pseudo-noise code generation and synchronization to a plurality of terminal stations.

This is a useful combination because it allows a system to transmit signals to users such as television signals, internet data, voice or video communication.

Regarding claims 2 and 7, Ariyoshi discloses the cable modem system of claim 1, wherein the network access provided to each cable modem (figure 12) within the plurality of cable modems comprises Internet access. Horne discloses (column 3 lines 64-66) of a system which has access to the internet.

Regarding claims 3 and 8, Ariyoshi discloses the cable modem system of claim 1, further comprising a modulator, communicatively coupled to the cable modem termination system (Terminal Station (402) in figure 10), that modulates the pseudonoise code signal; and the modulated pseudonoise code signal being transmitted from the cable modem termination system to the at least one cable modem via the cable modem network segment (PN generator (321) in figure 9).

Regarding claims 4 and 9, Ertel discloses the cable modem system of claim 1, wherein the cable modem termination system performs transmit equalization of a communication path (Matched filter/Equalization (32) in figure 2), between the

Art Unit: 2623

between the cable modem termination system and the at least one cable modem, within the cable modem network segment (Network in figure 10 (Ariyoshi))

Regarding claims 5 and 10, Ariyoshi discloses the cable modem system of claim 4, wherein the orthogonal code spreading and the pseudo-noise code spreading operate cooperatively to minimize effects of multi-path across the communication path (Figure 9).

Regarding claim 11, Ariyoshi discloses a cable modem that is operable using synchronous code division multiple access, comprising: a transmit block comprising an orthogonal code spreader and a pseudo-noise spreader (transmitting phase controller (315) in figure 9); and a receive block comprising a pseudo-noise despreader and an orthogonal code de-spreade (Received signal (Rx) in figure 8); and wherein the transmit block (Transmitting signal (Tx-i) in figure 8) being operable to spread a cable modem user signal using the orthogonal code (Orthogonal Code in figure 8) spreader to generate an orthogonal code spread cable modem user signal (Orthogonal Code Generator in figure 8); the transmit block being operable to spread a orthogonal code spread cable modem user signal using the pseudo-noise code spreader to generate a pseudo-noise code spread cable modem user signal (PN generator (PNr) in figure 8); the receive block being operable to de-spread a received cable modem user signal using the pseudo-noise code de-spreader to generate an orthogonal code spread de-cable modem user signal; and the transmit block being operable to de-spread the orthogonal code spread de-cable modem user using the

Art Unit: 2623

pseudo-noise de-spreader (Reverse link synchronization controller (103) in figure 4).

Regarding claim 12, Ariyoshi discloses the cable modem of claim 11, wherein the transmit block further comprises a modulator and the receive block further comprises a de-modulator (column 13 line 16); the modulator modulates the pseudo-noise code spread cable modem user signal before transmission to a cable modem termination system via a cable modem network segment (column 13 line 31); and the demodulator de-modulates the received cable modem user signal, the received cable modem user signal being received from the cable modem termination system via the cable modem network segment (figure 10)

Regarding claim 13, Ariyoshi discloses the cable modem of claim 11, wherein the cable modem termination system is operable to provide network access to the cable modem (figure 1).

Regarding claim 14, Horne discloses the cable modem of claim 13, wherein the network access comprises Internet access (column 3 lines 64-66).

Regarding claim 15, Ertel discloses the cable modem of claim 11, further comprising a front-end filter that is operable to perform ingress cancellation filtering (Matched filter/Equalization (32) in figure 2).

Regarding claims 16, 21, and 26, Ariyoshi discloses a cable modem signal transmission method, comprising: spreading an input signal using an orthogonal code thereby generating an orthogonal code spread signal (Orthogonal Code Generator

(212) in figure 5); spreading the orthogonal code spread signal using a pseudo-noise code thereby generating a pseudo-noise code spread signal (PN generator (211) in figure 5); transmitting the pseudo-noise code spread signal from a transmitter to a receiver; de-spreading the received signal using the pseudo-noise code thereby generating a pseudo-noise code de-spread signal (Transmitting signal in figure 6); and de-spreading the pseudo-noise code de-spread signal using the orthogonal code thereby generating an orthogonal code de-spread signal (figure 7). Performing hard limiting on the average filtered signal to make hard bit decisions (column 11 lines 44-51)

Regarding claims 17, 22, and 27, Ariyoshi discloses the method of claim 16, wherein the transmitter comprises a cable modem; and the receiver comprises a cable modem termination system (figure 7).

Regarding claims 18, 23, and 28, Ariyoshi discloses the method of claim 17, wherein the cable modem and the cable modem termination system are communicatively coupled via a cable modem network segment (figure 10).

Regarding claims 19, 24, and 29, Ariyoshi discloses the method of claim 16, wherein the transmitter comprises a cable modem termination system; and the receiver comprises a cable modem (Figure 12).

Regarding claims 20, 25, and 30, Ariyoshi discloses the method of claim 19, wherein the cable modem and the cable modem termination system are communicatively coupled via a cable modem network segment (figure 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/079,367 Page 8

Art Unit: 2623

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO whose telephone number is (571)270-3004. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (7:30am - 5:00pm) alternate Fri off (EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571)272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,367 Page 9

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chris Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424